

Försättsblad till skriftlig tentamen vid Linköpings universitet



| | |
|--|---|
| Datum för tentamen | 2016-01-15 |
| Sal (1) | TB |
| Tid | 8-13 |
| Kurskod | TDDD72 |
| Provkod | TEN1 |
| Kursnamn/benämning Provnamn/benämning | Logik En skriftlig tentamen |
| Institution | IDA |
| Antal uppgifter som ingår i tentamen | 4 |
| Jour/Kursansvarig Ange vem som besöker salen | Andrzej Szalas |
| Telefon under skrivtiden | 013-28 19 95 eller 0709 46 1995 |
| Besöker salen ca klockan | ja |
| Kursadministratör/kontaktperson (namn + tfnr + mailaddress) | Anna Grabska Eklund, ankn. 2362, anna.grabska.eklund@liu.se |
| Tillåtna hjälpmedel | 1 You can use your own copies of slides as well as an English-Swedish dictionary. 2. Exercises are formulated in English, but answers can be given in English or Swedish. |
| Övrigt | |
| Antal exemplar i påsen | |

EXAM: TDDD72 (LOGIC)

15 JANUARY 2016

Exam rules

1. You can use your own copies of slides from lectures as well as an English-Swedish dictionary.
2. Exercises are formulated in English, but answers can be given in English or in Swedish.
3. You are not allowed to:
 - use any writing material other than indicated in point 1, in particular you cannot use ebook with exercises and solutions;
 - use calculators, mobile phones or any other electronic devices;
 - lend/borrow/exchange anything during the exam.
4. If an exercise has not been specified completely as you see it, state which (reasonable) assumptions you have made.
5. Begin each exercise on a new sheet of paper. Write only on one side of the paper. Write clearly and make sure to give adequate explanations for all your answers.
6. There are 4 exercises, each exercise gives maximum 10 points (40 points together). Grading is provided in the following table.

| number of points (n) | grade |
|--------------------------|----------------|
| $34 \leq n \leq 40$ | 5 |
| $27 \leq n < 34$ | 4 |
| $20 \leq n < 27$ | 3 |
| $n < 20$ | U (not passed) |

EXERCISES**EXERCISE 1**

1. Prove the following propositional formula:

$$[(\neg Q \rightarrow P) \wedge R] \rightarrow [P \vee (Q \wedge R)]$$

- (a) (2 points) using tableaux;
(b) (2 points) using resolution.

2. Prove the following formula of first-order logic:

$$\forall x \exists y \forall z (P(x, y, z) \vee P(z, y, x)) \rightarrow \forall x \exists y \exists z (P(x, y, z) \vee P(z, y, x))$$

- (a) (3 points) using Gentzen system;
(b) (3 points) using resolution.

EXERCISE 2

1. (4 points) Translate the following sentences into a set of propositional formulas, where 'Co' is a company:

- “ If 'Co' will offer a new product then it will not take a loan or will have cash flow problems.”
“ If 'Co' will not take a loan and its income will decrease then it will not offer a new product.”
“ If the income of 'Co' will not decrease then 'Co' will not offer a new product or will have cash flow problems.”
“ If 'Co' will have cash flow problems then it will not offer a new product.”

2. (2 points) Check informally whether sentences expressed in point 1 imply that 'Co' will not offer a new product.
3. (4 points) Prove your claim formally using either tableaux or resolution.

EXERCISE 3

Consider relation $R(x, y)$ being symmetric and transitive and such that:

$$\forall x \exists y [R(x, y)]. \quad (1)$$

- (a) (4 points) assuming (1) together with symmetry and transitivity of R , prove informally that R is reflexive;
- (b) (6 points) prove (a) formally, using resolution or Gentzen system.

Recall that relation R is:

- reflexive, if $\forall x [R(x, x)]$;
- symmetric, if $\forall x \forall y [R(x, y) \rightarrow R(y, x)]$;
- transitive, if $\forall x \forall y \forall z [(R(x, y) \wedge R(y, z)) \rightarrow R(x, z)]$.

EXERCISE 4

1. (2 points) Design a Datalog database for storing information about events. Each event is characterized by its importance (*low, medium or high*) and safety level (*low, medium or high*). In addition, the database should contain information about causal relationship among events, allowing one to express that a given event is a direct cause of another event.

An event e' is *indirectly caused* by event e if there is a natural number $k \geq 1$ and events e_1, e_2, \dots, e_k such that:

- e_1 is caused by e ;
- e_2 is caused by e_1 ;
- ...
- e_k is caused by e_{k-1} ;
- e' is caused by e_k .

2. (1 point) Express in first-order logic the constraint:

“an event has a unique importance and safety level.”

3. (1 point) Provide a sample integrity constraint concerning direct causal relation among events.
4. Formulate Datalog queries selecting:
- (a) (2 points) all pairs of events e, e' such that e is an event with low or medium safety level and e' is an event of high importance, directly caused by e ;
- (b) (4 points) all events e of medium or high importance, being a direct or indirect cause of an event with low safety level.