



Försättsblad till skriftlig tentamen vid Linköpings Universitet

Datum för tentamen	2014-01-17
Sal (1) Om tentan går i flera salar ska du bifoga ett försättsblad till varje sal och <u>ringa in</u> vilken sal som avses	KÅRA
Tid	8-13
Kurskod	TDDD72
Provkod	TEN1
Kursnamn/benämning Provnamn/benämning	Logik En skriftlig tentamen
Institution	IDA
Antal uppgifter som ingår i tentamen	4
Jour/Kursansvarig Ange vem som besöker salen	Andrzej Szalas
Telefon under skrivtiden	013-28 19 95 eller 0709 46 1995
Besöker salen ca kl.	ca kl. 09:30 och en gång mot slutet av tentan
Kursadministratör/kontaktperson (namn + tfnr + mailaddress)	Anna Grabska Eklund, ankn. 2362, anna.grabska.eklund@liu.se
Tillåtna hjälpmedel	You can use your own copies of slides as well as an English-Swedish dictionary *** Kopia på egna föreläsningslides Engelsk-Svensk ordbok
Övrigt	
Vilken typ av papper ska användas, rutigt eller linjerat	Valfritt
Antal exemplar i påsen	



Samma tenta som TDDC 36

Försättsblad till skriftlig tentamen vid Linköpings Universitet

Datum för tentamen	2014-01-17
Sal (1) Om tentan går i flera salar ska du bifoga ett försättsblad till varje sal och <u>ringa in</u> vilken sal som avses	KÅRA
Tid	8-13
Kurskod	TDDC36
Provkod	TEN1
Kursnamn/benämning Provnamn/benämning	Logik Skriftlig tentamen
Institution	IDA
Antal uppgifter som ingår i tentamen	4
Jour/Kursansvarig Ange vem som besöker salen	Andrzej Szalas
Telefon under skrivtiden	013-28 19 95 eller 0709 46 1995
Besöker salen ca kl.	ca kl. 09:30 och en gång mot slutet av tentan
Kursadministratör/kontaktperson (namn + tfnr + mailaddress)	Anna Grabska Eklund, ankn. 2362, anna.grabska.eklund@liu.se
Tillåtna hjälpmedel	You can use your own copies of slides as well as an English-Swedish dictionary *** Kopia på egna föreläsningslides Engelsk-Svensk ordbok
Övrigt	
Vilken typ av papper ska användas, rutigt eller linjerat	Valfritt
Antal exemplar i påsen	15

EXAM: TDDC36, TDDD72 (LOGIC)

17 JANUARY 2014

RULES

1. You can use your own copies of slides as well as an English-Swedish dictionary.
2. Exercises are formulated in English, but answers can be given in English or Swedish.
3. You are not allowed to:
 - use any writing material other than indicated in point 1;
 - use calculators, mobile phones or any other electronic devices;
 - lend/borrow/exchange anything during the exam.
4. If an exercise has not been specified completely as you see it, state which (reasonable) assumptions you have made.
5. Begin each exercise on a new sheet of paper. Write only on one side of the paper. Write clearly and make sure to give adequate explanations for all your answers.
6. There are 4 exercises, each exercise gives maximum 10 points (40 points together). Grading is provided in tables below.

number of points (n)	Swedish grade	ETCS grade
$34 \leq n \leq 40$	5	A
$27 \leq n < 34$	4	B
$20 \leq n < 27$	3	C
$n < 20$	not passed	F (not passed)

EXERCISES

EXERCISE 1

1. Prove the following propositional formula:¹

$$[\neg P \wedge (\neg Q \vee \neg R)] \rightarrow [(\neg P \wedge \neg Q) \vee \neg R]$$

- (a) (2 points) using tableaux;
 (b) (2 points) using Gentzen system (as provided in the book or during lectures - up to your choice).

2. Prove the following formula of predicate logic:

$$\left(\exists x \forall y [P(x, y, y)] \wedge \neg \exists x \exists y \exists z [P(x, y, z) \wedge P(a, y, b)] \right) \rightarrow \exists z [\neg P(z, b, b)]$$

- (a) (3 points) using tableaux;
 (b) (3 points) using resolution.

EXERCISE 2

1. (4 points) Translate the following sentences into a set of propositional formulas:

“When John is in a bad mood, he goes to a cinema.”
 “When John is in a moderate mood, he stays at his home.”
 “When John is in good mood, he visits his friends.”
 “John cannot be in two different moods.”
 “Eve says that John is in a moderate or good mood.”
 “Jack says that John is in a moderate or bad mood.”

2. (2 points) Assuming that that Eve and Jack tell the truth, hypothesize what is John’s decision where to spend time and explain your reasoning informally.
 3. (4 points) Prove your claim formally using a proof system of your choice (tableaux, Gentzen system or resolution. Please do not use truth table method, as this will give no points).

¹Recall that there is precedence among the connectives. The order of precedence from high to low is: negation, conjunction, disjunction, implication, equivalence. For example, $\neg Q \vee S \wedge R$ stands for $(\neg Q) \vee (S \wedge R)$.

EXERCISE 3

Consider roads connecting various places and satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) “Every place is connected via a road to some other place.”
- (b) “Every two places x, y have the property that whenever there is a road from x to y then there is also a road from y to x .”
- (c) “For every places x, y, z , if there is a road from x to y and from y to z then there is also a road from x to z .”

1. (3 points) Express in predicate logic properties (a), (b) and (c).
2. (2 points) Check informally whether the conjunction of (a), (b) and (c) implies that “From every place there is a road to itself.”
3. (5 points) Verify your informal reasoning using a proof system of your choice (Gentzen system or resolution).

EXERCISE 4

1. (2 points) Design a Datalog database for storing information about articles in newspapers. Each article is characterized by:

- its title;
- its length (*short, medium, long*);
- directly related articles.

2. (1 point) Express in predicate calculus the constraint:

“direct relationship among articles is reflexive and symmetric”.

3. (1 point) Provide another exemplary integrity constraint.

4. Formulate in logic queries selecting:

- (a) (2 points) all short or medium articles related to article entitled “Conjunctive Queries”.
- (b) (4 points) all long articles directly or indirectly related to article entitled “Conjunctive Queries”, assuming that articles A and B are *directly or indirectly related* when there is $k \geq 0$ and articles A_1, \dots, A_k such that

$$A \rightleftharpoons A_1 \rightleftharpoons \dots \rightleftharpoons A_k \rightleftharpoons B,$$

where $A \rightleftharpoons B$ denotes the fact that articles A and B are directly related. For example, if $A \rightleftharpoons C$ and $C \rightleftharpoons B$ then A is directly or indirectly related to C and B . If $A \rightleftharpoons C \rightleftharpoons D \rightleftharpoons B$ then A is directly or indirectly related to C, D and B , the same for C and B , etc.