

Försättsblad till skriftlig tentamen vid Linköpings universitet



Datum för tentamen	2019-08-29
Sal (1)	<u>T1(1)</u>
Tid	8-12
Utb. kod	TDDD55
Modul	TEN1
Utb. kodnamn/benämning Modulnamn/benämning	Kompilatorer och interpretatorer En skriftlig tentamen
Institution	IDA
Antal uppgifter som ingår i tentamen	10
Jour/Kursansvarig Ange vem som besöker salen	Martin Sjölund
Telefon under skrivtiden	+46 13 28 6679
Besöker salen ca klockan	9:30
Kursadministratör/kontaktperson (namn + tfnr + mailaddress)	Veronica Kindeland Gunnarsson 013-28 56 34
Tillåtna hjälpmedel	Engelsk ordbok Miniräknare
Övrigt	
Antal exemplar i påsen	

Tentamen/Exam

TDDD55 Kompilatorer och interpretatorer / Compilers and Interpreters

2019-08-29, 08:00 – 12:00

Hjälpmedel / Allowed material:

- Engelsk ordbok / Dictionary from/to English to/from your native language
- Miniräknare / Pocket calculator

General instructions:

- Read the instructions and examination procedures for exams at LiU.
- Read all assignments carefully and completely before you begin.
- You may answer in Swedish or in English.
- Write clearly – unreadable text will be ignored. Be precise in your statements – imprecise formulations may lead to reduction of points. Motivate clearly all statements and reasoning. Explain calculations and solution procedures.
- The assignments are sorted into fundamentals (20p) and an advanced section (max 10p).
 - Solve all of the fundamental assignments.
 - Choose up to 10p worth of the advanced assignments (there are 6 assignments to choose from). You are penalized if you answer more than 10p worth of advanced assignments.
- The exam is designed for 30 points in 240 minutes. You may thus plan 8 minutes per point.
- Grading: U, 3, 4, 5 resp. Fx, C, B, A (ECTS grades given on request).
- The preliminary threshold for passing (grade 3/C) is 16 points, of which 10 points should be from the fundamentals section.

Fundamentals

1. (6p) Formal Languages and Automata Theory

Consider the language L consisting of all strings w over the alphabet $\{\text{digit}, ., e, -\}$ (where a digit is 0-9) such that every string is a real number where a leading dot is not allowed. Example of strings in the language: 0.3, 1e-3, 0.5e3, -1., 123456789. Examples of strings not in the language: e, .3.

- (a) (1.5p) Construct a regular expression for L .
- (b) (1.5p) Construct from the regular expression an NFA recognizing L .
- (c) (2.5p) Construct a DFA recognizing L , either by deriving it from the NFA or by constructing it directly.
- (d) (0.5p) Give an example of a formal language that is not context-free.

2. (3p) Compiler Structure and Generators

- (a) (2p) What are the generated compiler phases and what are the corresponding formalisms (mention at least 5 phases and 3 formalisms) when using a compiler generator to generate a compiler?
- (b) (1p) Most modern compilers have not just one, but several intermediate representations (IR). What is the advantage of having more than one IR, and what could be the drawback?

3. (5p) Top-Down Parsing

- (a) (4.5p) Given a grammar with nonterminals L, E, F and the following productions:

$L ::= L a$

$L ::= E F b$

$L ::= E F f$

$E ::= E c$

$E ::= d$

$F ::= E e$

$F ::= \epsilon$

where L is the start symbol, a, b, c, d, e and f are terminals. (ϵ is the empty string!) What is/are the problem(s) with this grammar if it is to be used for writing a recursive descent parser with a single token lookahead? Resolve the problem(s), and write a recursive descent parser for the modified grammar. (Pseudocode/program code without declarations is fine. Use the function `scan()` to read the next input token, and the function `error()` to report errors if needed.)

- (b) (0.5p) The theory for formal languages and automata says that a stack is required for being able to parse context-free languages. We have used such a stack, for instance, in the LL-item pushdown automaton in the lecture on top-down parsing. But where is the corresponding stack in a recursive descent parser?

4. (6p) LR parsing

Use the SLR(1) tables below to show how the string `xyxizxx` is parsed. You should show, step by step, how stack, input data etc. are changed during the parsing. Start state is 00, start symbol is S.

Grammar:

1. $S ::= X$
2. $X ::= x X y$
3. $\quad | x Y x$
4. $\quad | x Y z$
5. $Y ::= x X y$
6. $\quad | y X i$
7. $\quad | i$

Tables:

State	Action					GOTO		
	\$	x	y	z	i	S	X	Y
00	*	S02	*	*	*	*	01	*
01	A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
02	*	S08	S12	*	S11	*	03	05
03	*	*	S04	*	*	*	*	*
04	R2	*	R2	*	R2	*	*	*
05	*	S06	*	S07	*	*	*	*
06	R3	*	R3	*	R3	*	*	*
07	R4	*	R4	*	R4	*	*	*
08	*	S08	S12	*	S11	*	09	05
09	*	*	S10	*	*	*	*	*
10	R2	R5	R2	R5	R2	*	*	*
11	*	R7	*	R7	*	*	*	*
12	*	S02	*	*	*	*	13	*
13	*	*	*	*	S14	*	*	*
14	*	R6	*	R6	*	*	*	*

Advanced

Read the general instructions first. You should not answer all of these assignments.

5. (3p) Symbol Table Management

The C language allows static nesting of scopes for identifiers, determined by blocks enclosed in braces. Given the following C program fragment (some statements are omitted):

```
int m;
int main( void ) {
    int i;
    for (j=0; j<i; j++) {
        int j, m;
        if (j==m) {
            int j;
            i = m * j;
        }
    }
}
```

- (a) For the program point containing the assignment `i = m * j`, show how the program variables are stored in the symbol table if the symbol table is to be realized as a hash table with chaining and block scope control. Assume that your hash function yields value 3 for `i`, value 1 for `j` and `m`, and value 4 for `main`.
- (b) Show and explain how the right entry of the symbol table will be accessed when looking up identifier `m` in the assignment `i = m * j`.
- (c) After code for a block is generated, one needs to get rid of the information for all variables defined in the block. Given a hash table with chaining and block scope control as above, show how to “forget” all variables defined in the current block, without searching through the entire table.

6. (5p) Syntax-Directed Translation

A Pascal-like language is extended with a `restartblock` statement according to the following grammar:

```
<block>      ::= begin <stmt_list> end
<stmt_list> ::= <stmt_list><stmt> |
<stmt>      ::= <assignment> | ... | restartblock
```

(where “...” represents all other possible kinds of statements). `restartblock` means that execution restarts at the beginning of the immediately enclosing block.

Example:

```

begin
  x:=17;
L1: begin
  y:=y-42;
  if p=4711
L2:   then restartblock;
      else q:=q-1;
L3: end;
end;

```

where restartblock at L2 means a jump to L1 (i.e. the beginning of the enclosing block).

- (a) (4p) Write a syntax-directed translation scheme, with attributes and semantic rules, for translating <block>s, and restartblocks inside them, to quadruples. The translation scheme should be used during bottom-up parsing. You are not allowed to define and use symbolic labels, i.e. all jumps should have absolute quadruple addresses as their destinations. You may need to rewrite the grammar. Explain all the attributes, functions, and instructions that you introduce. State all your assumptions. (Since it is a syntax-directed translation scheme, not an attribute grammar, generation of a quadruple puts it in an array of quadruples and attribute values are "small" values such as single quadruple addresses.)
- (b) (1p) What problem would occur in handling of the translation scheme if instead of restartblock there would be an exitblock statement that jumped to the end of the immediately enclosing block (instead of the begin), i.e. to L3 in this example?

7. (1p) Error Handling

Explain, define, and give examples of using the valid prefix property regarding error handling.

8. (2p) Memory management

- (a) (1p) What does an activation record contain?
- (b) (1p) What are static and dynamic links? How are they used?

9. (3p) **Intermediate Representation**

Given the following code segment in a Pascal-like language:

```
if fib(x)>4711
  then z=0
  else repeat
    y=fac(x);
    x=x+y;
  until x>50000
```

Translate the code segment into an abstract syntax tree, quadruples, and postfix code.

10. (3p) **Intermediate Code Generation**

Divide the following code into basic blocks, draw a control flow graph, and show as well as motivate the existing loop(s):

```
L1: x:=x+1
L2: x:=x+1
L3: x:=x+1
    if x=1 then goto L5
    if x=2 then goto L1
    if x=3 then goto L3
L4: x:=x+1
L5: x:=x+1
    if x=4 then goto L4
```