

Information page for written examinations at Linköping University



Examination date	2019-04-26
Room (1)	<u>TER4(12)</u>
Time	14-18
Edu. code	TDDB44
Module	TEN1
Edu. code name Module name	Compiler Construction (Kompilatorkonstruktion) Examination (Tentamen)
Department	IDA
Number of questions in the examination	11
Teacher responsible/contact person during the exam time	Martin Sjölund
Contact number during the exam time	+46707567358
Visit to the examination room approximately	15:30
Name and contact details to the course administrator (name + phone nr + mail)	Veronica Kindeland Gunnarsson 013-28 56 34
Equipment permitted	English dictionary Pocket calculator
Other important information	
Number of exams in the bag	

Tentamen/Exam

TDDB44 Kompilatorkonstruktion / Compiler Construction

2019-04-26, 14:00 – 18:00

Hjälpmedel / Allowed material:

- Engelsk ordbok / Dictionary from/to English to/from your native language
- Miniräknare / Pocket calculator

General instructions:

- Read the instructions and examination procedures for exams at LiU.
- Read all assignments carefully and completely before you begin.
- You may answer in Swedish or in English.
- Write clearly – unreadable text will be ignored. Be precise in your statements – imprecise formulations may lead to reduction of points. Motivate clearly all statements and reasoning. Explain calculations and solution procedures.
- The assignments are *not* ordered according to difficulty.
- The exam is designed for 40 points in 240 minutes. You may thus plan 6 minutes per point.
- Grading: U, 3, 4, 5 resp. Fx, C, B, A.
- The preliminary threshold for passing (grade 3/C) is 20 points.

1. (3p) Compiler Structure and Generators

- (a) (1p) What are the advantages and disadvantages of a multi-pass compiler (compared to an one-pass compiler)?
- (b) (2p) Describe briefly what phases are found in a compiler. What is their purpose, how are they connected, what is their input and output?

2. (5p) Top-Down Parsing

- (a) (4.5p) Given a grammar with nonterminals S, X, Y and the following productions:

S ::= S 1

S ::= X Y 2

S ::= X Y 6

X ::= X 3

X ::= 4

Y ::= X 5

Y ::= ϵ

where S is the start symbol, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 are terminals. (ϵ is the empty string!) What is/are the problem(s) with this grammar if it is to be used for writing a recursive descent parser with a single token lookahead? Resolve the problem(s), and write a recursive descent parser for the modified grammar. (Pseudocode/program code without declarations is fine. Use the function `scan()` to read the next input token, and the function `error()` to report errors if needed.)

- (b) (0.5p) The theory for formal languages and automata says that a stack is required for being able to parse context-free languages. We have used such a stack, for instance, in the LL-item pushdown automaton in the lecture on top-down parsing. But where is the corresponding stack in a recursive descent parser?

3. (3p) LR parsing

Use the SLR(1) tables below to show how the string 1214341 is parsed. You should show, step by step, how stack, input data etc. are changed during the parsing. Start state is 00, start symbol is S.

Grammar:

1. $S ::= A$
2. $A ::= 1 A 2$
3. $| 1 B 1$
4. $| 1 B 3$
5. $B ::= 1 A 2$
6. $| 2 A 4$
7. $| 4$

Tables:

State	\$	Action				GOTO		
		1	2	3	4	S	A	B
00	*	S02	*	*	*	*	01	*
01	A	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
02	*	S08	S12	*	S11	*	03	05
03	*	*	S04	*	*	*	*	*
04	R2	*	R2	*	R2	*	*	*
05	*	S06	*	S07	*	*	*	*
06	R3	*	R3	*	R3	*	*	*
07	R4	*	R4	*	R4	*	*	*
08	*	S08	S12	*	S11	*	09	05
09	*	*	S10	*	*	*	*	*
10	R2	R5	R2	R5	R2	*	*	*
11	*	R7	*	R7	*	*	*	*
12	*	S02	*	*	*	*	13	*
13	*	*	*	*	S14	*	*	*
14	*	R6	*	R6	*	*	*	*

4. (3p) LR parser construction

Given the following grammar G for strings over the alphabet $\{a, b, c, d\}$ with nonterminals S, X and Y , where S is the start symbol:

1. $S ::= X$
2. $X ::= a X b$
3. $| a Y a$
4. $| a Y c$
5. $Y ::= a X b$
6. $| b X d$
7. $| d$

Is the grammar G in $SLR(1)$ or even $LR(0)$? Justify your answer using the LR item sets. If it is: construct the characteristic LR-items NFA, the corresponding GOTO graph, the ACTION table and the GOTO table and show with tables and stack how the string $abadcda$ is parsed.

If it is not: describe where/how the problem occurs.

5. (3p) Symbol Table Management

Describe what the compiler – using a symbol table implemented as a hash table with chaining and block scoped control – does in compiling a statically scoped, block structured language when it handles:

- (a) block entry
- (b) block exit
- (c) a variable declaration
- (d) a variable use.

6. (5p) Syntax-Directed Translation

A Pascal-like language is extended with a `restartblock` statement according to the following grammar:

```
<block>      ::= begin <stmt_list> end
<stmt_list> ::= <stmt_list><stmt> |
<stmt>      ::= <assignment> | ... | restartblock
```

(where “...” represents all other possible kinds of statements). `restartblock` means that execution restarts at the beginning of the immediately enclosing block.

Example:

```
begin
    x:=17;
L1: begin
        y:=y-42;
        if p=4711
L2:      then restartblock;
        else q:=q-1;
L3: end;
end;
```

where `restartblock` at L2 means a jump to L1 (i.e. the beginning of the enclosing block).

- (a) (4p) Write a syntax-directed translation scheme, with attributes and semantic rules, for translating `<block>`s, and `restartblocks` inside them, to quadruples. The translation scheme should be used during bottom-up parsing. You are not allowed to define and use symbolic labels, i.e. all jumps should have absolute quadruple addresses as their destinations. You may need to rewrite the grammar. Explain all the attributes, functions, and instructions that you introduce. State all your assumptions. (Since it is a syntax-directed translation scheme, not an attribute grammar, generation of a quadruple puts it in an array of quadruples and attribute values are “small” values such as single quadruple addresses.)
- (b) (1p) What problem would occur in handling of the translation scheme if instead of `restartblock` there would be an `exitblock` statement that jumped to the end of the immediately enclosing block (instead of the `begin`), i.e. to L3 in this example?

7. (3p) **Error Handling**

Explain, define, and give examples of using the following concepts regarding error handling:

- (a) (1p) Valid prefix property,
- (b) (1p) Phrase level recovery,
- (c) (1p) Global correction.

8. (3p) **Memory management**

- (a) (1p) What does an activation record contain?
- (b) (1p) What happens on the stack at function call and at function return?
- (c) (1p) What are static and dynamic links? How are they used?

9. (3p) **Intermediate Representation**

Given the following code segment in a Pascal-like language:

```
if x=y
  then x:=x-10
  else while y>10 do
    if y<x
      then y:=y+1
      else y:=func(x)
```

Translate the code segment into an abstract syntax tree, quadruples, and postfix code.

10. (3p) **Intermediate Code Generation**

Divide the following code into basic blocks, draw a control flow graph, and show as well as motivate the existing loop(s):

```
      goto L2
L1: x:=x+1
L2: x:=x+1
      x:=x+1
      if x=1 then goto L1
L3: if x=2 then goto L4
      goto L5
L4: x:=x+1
L5: x:=x+1
      if x=4 then goto L3
```

11. (6p) **Code Generation for RISC, etc.**

- (a) (2p) Explain the main characteristics of CISC and RISC architectures, and their differences.
- (b) (1.5p) Explain the main similarity and the main difference between superscalar and VLIW architectures from a compiler's point of view. Which one is harder to generate code for, and why?
- (c) (1.5p) Explain briefly the concept of software pipelining. Show it with a simple example.
- (d) (1p) What is a live range? Explain the concept and show a simple example.