

Försättsblad till skriftlig tentamen vid Linköpings Universitet

-	2014 00 21
Datum för tentamen	2014-08-21
Sal (1) Om tentan går i flera salar ska du bifoga ett försättsblad till varje sal och <u>ringa in</u> vilken sal som avses	TER4
Tid	14-18
Kurskod	TDDA69
Provkod	TENA
Kursnamn/benämning Provnamn/benämning	Data- och programstrukturer Tentamen
Institution	IDA
Antal uppgifter som ingår i tentamen	5
Jour/Kursansvarig Ange vem som besöker salen	Ahmed Rezine
Telefon under skrivtiden	013-281938 eller 0722- 031978
Besöker salen ca kl.	Ja
Kursadministratör/kontaktperson (namn + tfnr + mailaddress)	Anna Grabska Eklund, ankn. 2362, anna.grabska.eklund@liu.se
Tillåtna hjälpmedel	inga
Övrigt	
Vilken typ av papper ska användas, rutigt eller linjerat	Valfritt
Antal exemplar i påsen	

Exam in Data and Program Structure (TDDA69)

Department of Computer and Information Science Linköping University 2014–08–21

Lecturers: Rezine A., Märak Leffer A.

Time: 14 - -18

Directions:

- 1. No documents, books or calculators are allowed
- 2. Write your answers clearly
- 3. Do not answer to more than one problem on each sheet of paper
- 4. Do not write on the back of the papers
- 5. You can answer in English or Swedish
- 6. Write your identifier on each sheet of paper

Examiner: Ahmed Rezine, 013 28 1938, 072 203 1978

You need about 25 points (out of maximum 50) to pass the exam.

Good Luck!

Problem A. Evaluation order and parameter passing (12 p)

- 1. (2p) Give an expression whose evaluation differentiates the *normal* and *applicative* orders of evaluation.
- 2. (2p) explain why debugging can be more difficult in case of lazy evaluation as opposed to the usual *call-by-value* parameter passing model.
- 3. (2p) What is the difference between call-by-name and call-by-need?
- 4. (4p) Write an expression that creates the stream of all natural odd numbers 1,3,5 ...
- 5. (2p) Which of the procedures cons-stream, stream-car, stream-cdr, force and delay should not be evaluated eagerly? explain.

Problem B. The environment model (18 p) Assume the *environment model* of evaluation.

- 1. (2p) Explain, using an example of an *environment diagram*, the notions of *environments*, *frames*, (shadowed) variables and variables' values.
- 2. (6p) Assume we pass an expression and an environment env to eval. Explain how the expression exp in (eval 'exp env) is evaluated using an environment diagram (if relevant):
 - (a) a self evaluating expression: e.g., (eval '25 env)
 - (b) a variable name: e.g., (eval 'z env)
 - (c) a definition: e.g., (eval '(define x 25) env)
 - (d) an assignment: e.g., (eval '(set! y 25) env)
 - (e) a lambda expression: e.g., (eval '(lambda (y) (- y a)) env)
 - (f) an application: e.g., (eval '(foo x) env)
- 3. (2p) Explain the semantics of let and let* in Scheme. What is the result of evaluating the code in Fig.1?

Figur 1: Semantics of let and let*

4. (6p) Assume we evaluate the expressions in Fig.2. What is the result of evaluating the last expression? Draw an environment diagram capturing the most important structures and describe in which order they are created.

```
(define (g h n) (let ((x 5)) (h (+ n x))))
(define (f x) (g (lambda (y) (+ x y)) 6))
(f 1)
```

Figur 2: static vs dynamic binding

5. (2p) What would be the value of the last expression in Fig.2 if the interpreter instead made use of *dynamic binding*?

Problem C. Object oriented programming (4 p)

- 1. (3p) Describe the code in Fig.3 in terms of object oriented notions.
 What object oriented programming properties can be captured when using the environment model of evaluation?
- 2. (1p) Define the functions withdraw! and deposit! in order to allow for a more functional style syntax (described in Fig.5) as opposed to the current one (Fig.4):

```
(define (make-account balance)
  (define (withdraw amount)
   (if (>= balance amount)
        (begin (set! balance (- balance amount)) balance)
        "Insufficient funds"))
 (define (deposit amount)
    (set! balance (+ balance amount))
   balance)
  (define (dispatch m)
    (cond ((eq? m 'withdraw) withdraw)
          ((eq? m 'deposit) deposit)
          (else (error "Unknown request" m))))
 dispatch)
(define acc (make-account 100))
((acc 'withdraw) 40)
=> 60
((acc 'deposit) 30)
=> 90
```

Figur 3: capturing object oriented concepts

```
      (define acc (make-account 100))
      (define acc (make-account 100))

      ((acc 'withdraw) 40)
      (withdraw acc 40)

      => 60
      => 60

      ((acc 'deposit) 30)
      (deposit acc 30)

      => 90
      => 90
```

Figur 4: current syntax

Figur 5: targeted syntax

Problem D. Logic Programming and Continuations (8 p)

1. (4p) Define in Prolog or in QLOG¹ a predicate, palindrome, that decides whether a list is a plaindrome (i.e., a list $i_1 \ldots i_n$ is a plaindrome iff $\forall k : 1 \leq k \leq n. i_k = i_{n-k+1}$). For instance:

```
(palindrome ()) is true
```

¹Recall the predicate (append u v w) that holds exactly when the concatenation uv coincides with w can be defined in QLOG with the two rules (rule (append () ?v ?v)) and (rule (append (?u . ?v) ?y (?u . ?z)) (append ?v ?y ?z))

```
(palindrome (1)) is true
(subset (1 2 1)) is true
(subset (1 1 1 1)) is true
(subset (1 1 2 1)) is false
(subset (1 1 2)) is false
```

- 2. (4p) In the non-deterministic evaluator (amb-evaluator), the evaluation can "fail". This results in "backtracking" to an earlier choice point. This was implemented using continuations.
 - (a) We added a new operation amb. Give an expression and its possible evaluations to illustrate the usage of amb.
 - (b) Explain what a continuation is and how using them implies a different evaluation model compared to the "usual one" (e.g. the evaluation model implemented by %Scheme).
 - (c) How is "backtracking" implemented in the amb-evaluator? Explain the role of failure continuations and how to find the following alternative at a previous choice point.
 - (d) When backtracking to an earlier choice point, what happens to the side-effects that have already been executed? can they be "undone"?

Problem E. Language extension (8 p)

We would like to implement a procedure "and" such that the evaluation of the arguments stops as soon as one of them evaluates to false. Implement the "and" procedure based on the metacircular evaluator (sketched in the following). You can make use of your own primitives (just explain what they do).

```
(lambda-body exp)
                                       env))
       ((begin? exp) (eval-sequence (begin-actions exp) env))
        ((cond? exp) (eval (cond->if exp) env))
        ((application? exp)
        (apply (eval (operator exp) env)
               (list-of-values (operands exp) env)))
        (else (error ... ))))
(define (apply proc args)
 (cond ((primitive-procedure? proc)
        (apply-primitive-procedure proc args))
        ((compound-procedure? proc)
         (eval-sequence (procedure-body proc)
                        (extend-environment
                        (procedure-parameters proc)
                         args
                         (procedure-environment proc))))
       (else (error ... ))))
(define (list-of-values exps env)
  (if (no-operands? exps)
      10
      (cons (eval (first-operand exps) env)
            (list-of-values (rest-operands exps) env))))
(define (eval-if exp env)
  (if (true? (eval (if-predicate exp) env))
     (eval (if-consequent exp) env)
     (eval (if-alternative exp) env)))
(define (eval-sequence exps env)
  (cond ((last-exp? exps) (eval (first-exp exps) env))
        (else (eval (first-exp exps) env)
              (eval-sequence (rest-exps exps) env))))
(define (eval-assignment exp env)
  (set-variable-value! (assignment-variable exp)
                       (eval (assignment-value exp) env)
                       env)
  'ok)
(define (eval-definition exp env)
  (define-variable! (definition-variable exp)
                    (eval (definition-value exp) env)
                    env)
(define (make-procedure param body env)
```