

**1 (3 points)**

*Solution.* (1.1) Rose:  $X \sim \text{Bin}(2000, p)$ ,  $x = 125$  and Jack:  $Y \sim \text{Bin}(3000, p)$ ,  $y = 175$ .

The likelihood function is

$$L(p) = L_1(p) \cdot L_2(p) = \binom{2000}{x} p^x (1-p)^{2000-x} \cdot \binom{3000}{y} p^y (1-p)^{3000-y}$$

Maximizing  $L(p)$  is equivalent to maximize  $\ln L(p)$  where

$$\ln L(p) = \ln \left( \binom{2000}{x} \binom{3000}{y} \right) + (x+y) \ln p + (2000-x) \ln(1-p) + (3000-y) \ln(1-p)$$

By taking  $\frac{d \ln L(p)}{dp} = 0$ , we get  $\frac{x+y}{p} - \frac{5000-(x+y)}{1-p} = 0$ .

Therefore  $\hat{p}_{ML} = \frac{x+y}{5000} = 0.06$ , since  $\frac{d^2 \ln L(p)}{dp^2} < 0$ .

(1.2)  $\hat{p}_{ML} = \frac{x+y}{5000}$ , so  $\hat{P}_{ML} = \frac{X+Y}{5000}$ .

$$E(\hat{P}_{ML}) = E\left(\frac{X+Y}{5000}\right) = p, \quad \text{it is unbiased.}$$

□

**2 (2 points)**

*Solution.* (2.1)  $H_0 : \mu_1 = \mu_2$        $H_1 : \mu_1 \neq \mu_2$

(2.2)

$$\begin{aligned} \beta(\mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0.5) &= P(H_0 \text{ is false, don't reject } H_0, \text{ if } \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0.5) \\ &= P(TS \notin C, \text{ if } \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0.5) \\ &= P(-\lambda_{0.005} < \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y}}{\sqrt{\frac{3^2}{100} + \frac{4^2}{100}}} < \lambda_{0.005}, \text{ if } \mu_1 - \mu_2 = 0.5) \\ &= P\left(\frac{-\lambda_{0.005}(0.5) - 0.5}{0.5} < \frac{\bar{X} - \bar{Y} - 0.5}{0.5} < \frac{\lambda_{0.005}(0.5) - 0.5}{0.5}\right) \\ &\approx \Phi(1.58) - \Phi(-3.58) \approx 0.9427. \end{aligned}$$

□

**3 (3 points)**

*Solution.* (3.1) The sampling distribution is

$$\frac{\bar{X} - \mu}{\mu/\sqrt{n}} \approx N(0, 1)$$

95% confidence interval for  $\mu$  is

$$I_\mu = \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{1 + \frac{\lambda_{0.025}}{\sqrt{n}}}, \frac{\bar{x}}{1 - \frac{\lambda_{0.025}}{\sqrt{n}}} \right) \approx (29.75, 52.57).$$

(3.2)  $p = P(X > 80) = \int_{80}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\mu} e^{-\frac{x}{\mu}} dx = e^{-\frac{80}{\mu}}$ .

We can get 95% one-sided lower bound confidence interval for  $\mu$  is

$$I_\mu = \left( \frac{\bar{x}}{1 + \frac{\lambda_{0.05}}{\sqrt{n}}}, \infty \right) = (30.83, \infty).$$

Therefore, 95% one-sided lower bound confidence interval for  $p$  is  $I_p = (a, \infty) = (e^{-\frac{80}{30.83}}, 1) \approx (0.07, 1)$ .

□

## 4 (4 points)

*Solution.* (4.1) Since population standard deviation  $\sigma$  is related to three samples, so

$$\hat{\sigma}^2 = s^2 = \frac{(n_1 - 1)s_1^2 + (n_2 - 1)s_2^2 + (n_3 - 1)s_3^2}{n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 3} = 32.06.$$

Thus the sampling distribution for  $\mu_1$  is  $\frac{\bar{X}_1 - \mu_1}{S/\sqrt{n_1}} \sim t(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 3)$ .

So 95% confidence interval for  $\mu_1$  is

$$I_{\mu_1} = \bar{x}_1 \mp t_{0.025}(15) \cdot \frac{s}{\sqrt{n_1}} = 249.2 \mp (2.13) \cdot \sqrt{\frac{32.06}{5}} \approx (243.81, 254.59).$$

(4.2) The sampling distribution for  $\mu_1 - 1.5\mu_2$  is

$$\frac{(\bar{X}_1 - 1.5\bar{X}_2) - (\mu_1 - 1.5\mu_2)}{S \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1.5^2}{n_2}}} \sim t(n_1 + n_2 + n_3 - 3)$$

Method I: Use 99% one-sided lower bound confidence interval for  $\mu_1 - 1.5\mu_2$

$$I_{\mu_1 - 1.5\mu_2} = (\bar{x}_1 - 1.5\bar{x}_2) - t_{0.01}(15) \cdot s \cdot \sqrt{\frac{1}{n_1} + \frac{1.5^2}{n_2}}, \infty \approx (40.12, \infty).$$

We can see that  $\mu_1 - 1.5\mu_2 \geq 40.12 > 0$ , so it is possible that  $\mu_1 > 1.5\mu_2$  with 99% confidence.

Method II: Make a test. □

## 5 (3 points)

*Solution.* Note:  $n = 100$

$X$	0	1	2	3	4	5	6
$Groups$	1	2	3	4	5	6	7
$N_i$	10	13	25	25	14	9	4
$p_i$	0.072	0.189	0.249	0.218	0.144	0.076	0.033
$np_i$	7.2	18.9	24.9	21.8	14.4	7.6	3.3

Note that,  $\hat{\mu} = \bar{x} = \frac{0 \cdot 10 + 1 \cdot 13 + \dots + 6 \cdot 4}{100} \approx 2.63$ .

$$p_1 = P(X = 0) = \frac{\mu^0}{0!} e^{-\mu} \approx e^{-2.63} \approx 0.072$$

$$p_2 = P(X = 1) = \frac{\mu^1}{1!} e^{-\mu} \approx 2.63 \cdot e^{-2.63} \approx 0.189$$

...

$$p_7 = P(X = 6) = \frac{\mu^6}{6!} e^{-\mu} \approx \frac{2.63^6}{6!} \cdot e^{-2.63} \approx 0.033$$

Because  $\sum_{i=1}^7 p_i = 0.981 < 1$ , so we add group 8,

$$\text{Group 8 } X \geq 7, \quad N_8 = 0, \quad p_8 = 0.019, \quad np_8 = 1.9.$$

Because  $np_7 < 5$  and  $np_8 < 5$ , so we combine these groups. Thus we get new group 7 which is

$$\text{Group 7 } X \geq 6, \quad N_7 = 4, \quad p_7 = 0.052, \quad np_7 = 5.2,$$

which gives  $k = 7$ . Then

$$TS = \sum_{i=1}^7 \frac{(N_i - np_i)^2}{np_i} \approx 3.93 \text{ and } C = (\chi_{0.05}^2(7 - 1 - 1), \infty) = (11.07, \infty)$$

$TS \notin C$ , don't reject  $H_0$ , i.e. it is possible to assume  $X \sim Po(\mu)$ .

□