# Real-Time Control Systems <br> Exam 2010-03-10 

8:30-12:30: Halls at "Maskin"
Course code: SSY190
Teacher: Knut Åkesson, 0701-749525
The teacher will visit examination halls twice to answer questions. This will be done approximately one hour after the examination started and one hour before it ends.

The exam comprises 30 credits. For the grades 3,4 , and 5 , is respectively required 15,20 and 25 credits.

Solutions and answers should be complete, written in English and be unambiguously and well motivated. In the case of ambiguously formulated exam questions, the suggested solution with possible assumptions must be motivated. The examiner retains the right to accept or decline the rationality of assumptions and motivations.

Exam results will be announced on the department notice board on the latest 2010-03-24 at 12:30. The results are open for review 2010-03-25, 12:30-13:30 at the department.

Allowed aids:

- An A4 sheet with handwritten notes on one page. You should hand-in your notes together with your solutions.
- A pocket calculator with erased memory.
- Dictionary (paper and electronic) between English and the students native language.
a) What is priority inversion? Explain by giving a simple example.
b) Explain shortly how the priority inheritance protocol works.
c) Explain the windup problem and describe one anti-windup approach.
d) Describe the main differences between a semaphore and a monitor.
e) Describe how TTCAN (Time-Triggered CAN) can be used to decrease the latency and jitter for real-time control applications.
f) Compare Ethernet and EtherCAT, explain what make EtherCAT more suitable for distributed control systems than standard Ethernet.

A PD-controller is given by the following transfer function

$$
F(s)=K_{p}+\frac{K_{d} s}{1+T_{f} s}, T_{f}>0
$$

a) Discretize the controller using the Euler Forward method and determine conditions for when the discretized controller will be stable.
b) Discretize the controller using the Euler backward method and determine conditions for when the discretized controller will be stable.
c) Let $R(s)$ be the set-point signal and $Y(s)$ be the actual output value from the process. In many situations the preferred solution is to compute the control signal for the PD-controller as

$$
K_{p}(R(s)-Y(s))+\frac{K_{d} s}{1+T_{f} s}(\gamma R(s)-Y(s)),
$$

where $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$. The textbook controller has $\gamma=1$, but in many pratical situations it is preferable to use a smaller $\gamma$. Explain the rationable behind using $\gamma$ smaller than 1 ?

We have five tasks that execute on a single processor. $T$ is the period of the task, $D$ is the deadline of the task, $C$ is the worst-case computation time time.

| Task | T | D | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{1}$ | 1 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| $P_{2}$ | 1 | 1 | 0.2 |
| $P_{3}$ | 2 | 0.5 | 0.4 |
| $P_{4}$ | 2 | 2 | 0.4 |
| $P_{5}$ | 10 | 10 | 1 |

a) Priorities are set using the Deadline Monotonic principle, thus the priorities fulfill the following relationship: $P_{1}>P_{3}>P_{2}>P_{4}>P_{5}\left(P_{1}\right.$ has the highest priority and $P_{5}$ the lowest, note that $P_{3}$ has higher priority than $P_{2}$.). Determine if all deadlines will be met. (assume the following: all tasks are release at time 0 , no interprocess communication, tasks may not suspend themselves, ideal real-time kernel).
b) Assume that task $P_{3}$ is a controller. The controller is designed in continous time and then discretized. In continous time the loop transfer function has a crossover frequency $\omega_{c}=0.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}$. Since $P_{3}$ has a relative deadline of 0.5 seconds, the control output will be set at most 0.5 seconds after the release time - assuming all deadlines can be met. However, this delay might casue instability problems for the closed loop system. Determine how much the phase-margin (approximately) has to be increased to handle compensate for the delay caused by the implementation.

We have two tasks that execute on a single processor using Earliest Deadline First scheduling. $T$ is the period of the task, $D$ is the deadline of the task, $C$ is the worst-case computation time time.

| Task | T | D | C |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $P_{1}$ | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| $P_{2}$ | 3 | 3 | 2 |

Assume that all tasks are released simultaneously at time 0 . When two tasks have the same deadline then the task with the earliest release has priority. Since the utilization is above $100 \%$ all deadlines cannot be met. At what time and for what task will the first deadline miss occur?

## 5

Three nodes are sending frames on a CAN network. All three nodes are sending the frames at the same time. Describe the arbitration phase, i.e., what bits are the nodes sending and what bits do they read back from the CAN-bus. The arbitration data for the three frames are given below.

| Frame | Message priority in binary |
| :---: | :--- |
| A | 100110000111 |
| B | 100001101010 |
| C | 101001011010 |

A system consists of three processes, $P_{1}, P_{2}$, and $P_{3}$. Each process executes the following sequences of semaphore operations. The system has five semaphores A, B, C, D, and E.

| $P_{1}$ | $P_{2}$ | $P_{3}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| wait(C) | wait(D) | wait(B) |
| wait(B) | wait(E) | wait(E) |
| signal(C) | signal(E) | wait(A) |
| wait(A) | signal(D) | signal(B) |
| wait(D) | wait(C) | signal(E) |
| signal(B) | signal(C) | signal(A) |
| signal(A) | wait(D) |  |
| signal(D) | signal(D) |  |

a) Assume all semaphores are initialized to 1 , determine if the system may deadlock or not. If it might deadlock then describe the deadlock situation.
b) Assume that sempahores A, C, D, and E are initialized to 1 while sempahore B is initialized to 2 . Determine if the system may deadlock or not. If it might deadlock then describe the deadlock situation.

In many embedded application the processor do not have a floating-point unit thus the calculations for implementing a control algorithm for example has to be implemented using fixed-point arithmetics. You would like to implement the following controller

$$
\begin{aligned}
x(k+1) & =0.90 x(k)+0.23 y(k) \\
u(k) & =-3.3 x(k)-0.85 y(k)
\end{aligned}
$$

using fixed-point arithmetic. All variables ( $y, u$ and $x$ ) should be represented using 8-bit signed integers, while all intermediate results may use 16 bits.
a) Convert the coefficient above to fixed-point numbers such that the you get the best possible resolution (given the restrictions above)
b) Write pseduo C-code for implementing the controller calculations using fixed-point arithmetics. Declare the size of each variable. Make sure that $x$ and $u$ does not overflow. You can use the following methods for reading and writing data.

```
int8_t readInput();
void writeOutput(int8_t u);
```

A semaphore can be defined either as only allowing positive values or it might be allowed to take both positive and negative values. The former approach is presented in the course book, while the latter was used in the lectures.

The code below shows the logical semantics of the semaphore primitives Wait and Signal as presented in the course book.

```
Wait(sem); <--->
IF sem^.counter = 0 THEN
    insert Running in the waiting queue of the semaphore;
ELSE
    sem^.counter = sem^.counter - 1;
Signal(sem); <--->
IF waiting queue is not empty THEN
    move first process in waiting queue to ReadyQueue;
ELSE
    sem^.counter = sem^.counter + 1;
```

Modify the implementation above such that both positive and negative values of the counter will be allowed. The behavior of an application using the Wait and Signal methods should not change.
ya
a) See example 4.5 in the book.
b) See book.
c) See book
d) Monitors are used for solving the mutual exclusion problem while semaphores caen be used to implement different syuchoomizabion problems.
Conditions are associated with monitors, this is not the case per semaphores:
For monitors, typically all tasks waiting for the monitor is woken up when a condition is fofflled. For semaphores only one thread is woken up.
e)

TTCAN has a predefined schedule, so different processes many hove preallocoted slots where they are guaranteed to be the single sender. Thus it it possible to estimate excatly when a process conseand doth on the bus
f/ Ethernet - one frame for each message
Ether CAT - one fame for multiple messages (telegrams)
Etherctt is also pointto-point protocol, this no medium access protocol is necessary.
*)

$$
F(s)=K_{p}+\frac{K_{d} \cdot s}{1+T_{f} \cdot s}, \quad T_{f}>0
$$

Euler forward $s \leftrightarrow \frac{z-1}{h}$, Euler backward $s \Leftrightarrow \frac{z-1}{z h}$
a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H(z)=K_{p}+\frac{K_{d} \cdot \frac{z-1}{h}}{1+T_{f} \cdot \frac{z-1}{h}}=\frac{K_{p}+K_{p} T_{f}\left(\frac{z-1}{h}\right)+K_{d}\left(\frac{z-1}{h}\right)}{1+T_{f} \cdot \frac{z-1}{h}}= \\
&=\frac{h \cdot K_{p}}{T_{f}}+\left(K_{p}+\frac{K_{d}}{T_{f}}\right)(z-1) \\
& z+\frac{h-T_{f}}{T_{f}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The controller is stable if $\left|\frac{h-T_{f}}{T_{f}}\right|<1$
b)

$$
H(z)=K_{p}+\frac{k_{d} \cdot \frac{z-1}{z h}}{1+T_{f} \frac{z-1}{z h}}=\frac{z h K_{p}+k_{d}(z-1)}{z h+T_{f}(z-1)}=\frac{-k_{d}+\left(k_{d}+h k_{p}\right) z}{\left(h+7_{f}\right) z-T_{f}}
$$

The controller is stable if $\left|\frac{T_{f}}{h+T_{f}}\right|<1$
Since $h, T_{f}=0 \ldots$ this will always be fulfilled.
c) See set-point weighting in the book
$3 /$ step 1

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{1}^{0}=0.1 \\
& R_{2}^{0}=0.2+0.1+0.4=0.7 \\
& R_{3}^{0}=0.5 \\
& R_{4}^{0}=0.4+0.4+0.2+0.1=1.1 \\
& R_{5}^{0}=1.1+1=2.1
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 2

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{1}^{\prime} & =0,1 \\
R_{2}^{\prime} & =0,2+\left[\frac{0,7}{2}\right] \cdot 0,4+\left[\frac{07}{1}\right] \cdot 0,1=0,7 \\
R_{3}^{\prime} & =0,4+\left[\frac{0,5}{1}\right] \cdot 0,1=0,5 \\
R_{4}^{\prime} & =0,4+\left[\frac{1,1}{2}\right] \cdot 0,4+\left[\frac{1,1}{1}\right] \cdot 0,2+\left[\frac{1,1}{1}\right] \cdot 0,1=0,4+0,4+0,4+0,2=1,4 \\
R_{5}^{\prime} & =1+\left[\frac{2,1}{2}\right] \cdot 0,4+\left[\frac{2,1}{2}\right] \cdot 0,4+\left[\frac{2,1}{1}\right] \cdot 0,2+\left[\frac{2,1}{1}\right] \cdot 0,1= \\
& =1+0,8+0,8+0,6+0,3=3,5
\end{aligned}
$$

Step 3

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{4}^{2} & =0,4+\left[\frac{1,4}{2}\right] \cdot 0,4+\left[\frac{1,4}{1}\right] \cdot 0,2+\left[\frac{1,4}{1}\right] \cdot 0,1=1,4 \\
R_{5}^{2} & =1+\left[\frac{3.5}{2}\right] \cdot 0.4+\left[\frac{3,5}{2}\right] \cdot 0.4+\left[\frac{3,5}{1}\right] \cdot 0,2+\left[\frac{3,5}{1}\right] \cdot 0.1= \\
& =1+0.8+0,8+0.8+0.4=3.8 \\
\text { Ster 4 } & R_{3}^{3}=1+[3.87 \cdot 0.4+\lceil 3.87 \cdot 0.4+\lceil 3.87 \cdot 0,2+\sqrt{3.87}] 0.1=8.8
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 3 b \\
& u_{c}=0.5 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s} \\
& D=0.5 \text { sekuader } \\
& \hat{G}(5)=e^{-0.55} \\
& \text { org } 6(j m)=-0.5 j w \\
& \text { oorg a(ime })=-0.25 \mathrm{rad} \leqslant 14.3^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

4/

$$
u=\frac{1}{2}+\frac{2}{3}>1
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{1}:(0,2),(2,4),(4,6),(6,8) \\
& P_{2}:(0,3),(3,6),(6,9)
\end{aligned}
$$



S/ |  | 1000 | A stops sending |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | 1001 | 1000 | 1010 |
| $B$ | 1000 | 0110 | 1010 |
| $C$ | 1010 | 0101 | 1010 |
|  | C stops sending |  |  |

$O$ is dominant
b/


A circular chain is necessary for deadlock situations.
(1). Note that we hove no hold-and-wait situation so a deadlock is impossible here. (se Pr)
(2) $C$ is used by both $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$, but no deadlock can occur in $P_{2}$ while calling wait (c), thess there might be no deadlock in $P_{1}$ either (when calling wait le))

Note that the following chain exits


There might be a deadlock, however note that it $B$ is initialized to 1 then either $P$, can book $A$ and $D$ or $P_{3}$ can book $E$ and $A$. Thus it is not possible to create the chain unless $B$ is initialized to 2

However, if $B$ is initialzed to 2 then the following sequence will result in a deadlock: $P_{1}$ wait $(C), P_{1}$ wait $(B), P_{1}$ : signal( $C$ ), $P$ : wail $(A), P_{2}:$ wait $(D), P_{2}:$ wait $(B), P_{3}:$ wait $(E) \Rightarrow$ deadlock.
$7 / 8$ bils (sipmed) $\Rightarrow$ we can represert nuobers in the range $[-128+123]$.
Largest coeffirmat is $3.3 \Rightarrow \log _{2}\left(\frac{128}{3.3}\right)=5.28 \Rightarrow$ we
can seale all coefficients with a factor $2^{5}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\operatorname{round}\left(0.90 \cdot 2^{5}\right)=29 \\
& B=\operatorname{round}\left(0.23 \cdot 2^{5}\right)=2 \\
& C=\operatorname{round}\left(-3.3 \cdot 2^{5}\right)=-104 \\
& D=\operatorname{round}\left(-0.85 .2^{5}\right)=-27
\end{aligned}
$$

b) thetine A 29

H define $B 7$
H define C-104
Hofene D -27
intreb y, $x$, u;

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { int } 16-t \times 16=0, \quad u 16=0 \\
& y=\text { read lap.t }) ; \\
& u 16=u 16+(\text { cint } 16-6) D *(\text { int } 16-t) y)>2 N \\
& \text { if }(u 16>127) \quad u=127
\end{aligned}
$$

else it $($ alb $<-128) \quad 4=-128$
elge u=ulb
writeonput (a)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x / 6=((\operatorname{in} 216-t) A *(\operatorname{int} 16-t) x+(\operatorname{cin} t 16-t) B+(\operatorname{in} t 16-t) y) \gg 127) \quad x=127 \\
& \text { if }(x 16>127) \\
& \text { else if } \times 16<-128 \quad x=-128 \\
& \text { else } x=x 16 ; \\
& \text { ul } 6=((\text { in } 616-t)(*(\operatorname{in}+16-6) x) \gg
\end{aligned}
$$

Wait (sen) $\longleftrightarrow$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Sem }^{\wedge} \text { counter }=\operatorname{sem}^{1} \text {. counter }-1 \text {; } \\
& \text { If sem } \text { owner }<0 \text { THEN }
\end{aligned}
$$

insert Doming in the waiting queue of the semaphore;

Signal (sem) $\Leftrightarrow$
IF $\operatorname{sem}^{\wedge}$. commenter $<0$ THEN
move first process in the waiting queue to Ready Queue $\operatorname{sem}^{1}$ counter $=\operatorname{sem}^{1}$ cowtert1;

