CHALMERS UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY<br>Department of Signals and Systems<br>Division of Automatic Control, Automation and Mechatronics

# EXAMINATION IN NONLINEAR AND ADAPTIVE CONTROL 

(Course ESS076)
Monday October 22, 2012
Time and place: 14:00-18:00 at Hörsalar
Teacher: $\quad$ Torsten Wik (5146 or 0739 870570)

## The following items are allowed (controlled by teacher):

1. Control Theory (Glad Ljung) or Applied Nonlinear Control (Slotine/Li)
2. ESS076 Supplement
3. Mathematical handbooks of tables such as Beta Mathematics Handbook.
4. Course summary from Lecture 18

Notes, calculator, mobile telephones, laptops or palmtops, are not allowed! Reasonable notes in the textbook are allowed but no solved problems.

The total points achievable are 30 with the following scales for grading
Grade 3: at least 12 points
Grade 4: at least 18 points
Grade 5: at least 24 points
Incorrect solutions with significant errors, unrealistic results or solutions that are difficult to follow result in 0 points.

Grading results are posted not later than November 5. Review of the grading is offered on Tuesday October 6 at 12:30-13:30. If you cannot attend on this occasion, any objections concerning the grading must be filed in written form not later than two weeks after the regular review occasion.

1. Consider the following two nonlinear systems:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S_{1}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}=x_{2} \\
\dot{x}_{2}=-x_{1}+x_{1}^{3} / 3-x_{2}
\end{array}\right. \\
& S_{2}:\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}=x_{2} \\
\dot{x}_{2}=-x_{1}+2 x_{2}\left(1-2 x_{1}^{2}-3.5 x_{2}^{2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

(a) Determine and classify all equilibrium points for the two systems.

2 p.
(b) Phase portraits of the two systems are shown in figure below. Copy the portraits, add arrow heads and determine which portrait belongs to which system.

2 p.


2. A time delay process $G(s)$ is controlled by an integral controller $F(s)=K / s$. In the figure below is the blockscheme and the Nyquist plot for $F G$ shown.


The process output is difficult to measure and the provider of the equipment can neither guarantee linearity nor a higher accuracy than $\pm 20 \%$ (i.e. the maximum error is less than 20\%). Assuming no dynamics in the sensor, will the accuracy be enough to ensure stability with the current controller setting?

3 p.
3. A retuning of a PID controller

$$
F(s)=K\left(1+\frac{1}{s T_{i}}+s T_{d}\right), \quad T_{i}=4 T_{d}
$$

for a low pass process $G(s)$ is to be carried out in closed loop using an ideal relay, i.e. no hysteresis and unit amplitude (see figure).


The system was put in relay mode (position 1) at $t=10 \mathrm{~s}$ and the following oscillating output was observed:



What parameter values should the PID-controller have to give an approximate phase margin $\varphi_{m} \approx 45^{\circ}$ ?
4. Consider the system

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}_{1} & =5 x_{1} x_{2} \\
\dot{x}_{2} & =2 x_{1}^{5}+3 u
\end{aligned}
$$

Use Lyapunov based methods to find a feedback control law for $u$ such that it can be concluded that the origin is globally asymptotically stable.

5 p.
5. Consider the nonlinear system in the block diagram below, where $u$ is the control signal, $y$ is the output, $f(\cdot)$ and $g(\cdot)$ are nonlinear smooth functions, and the signals between the blocks are available for control.


How can this system be transformed into a linear system from a reference $r$ to $y$ by internal feedback of the available signals to $u$.

4 p.
6. In 1696 Bernoulli stated a challenge in solving the so-called Brachystrone problem, which can be said to later on lead to the Maximum principle in optimal control. The problem concerns finding the fastest path of a point mass, only exposed to gravity, from A to B in the vertical plane.
Applying the Maximum principle to the Brachystrone problem leads to the following equations being satisfied by an extremal:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x}(t) & =\frac{v(t)}{|\lambda(t)|} \lambda(t) ; \quad x(0)=0, \quad x\left(t_{f}\right)=\left(x_{B}, y_{B}\right) \\
\dot{\lambda}(t) & =\left[\begin{array}{c}
0 \\
g|\lambda(t)| / v(t)
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \lambda\left(t_{f}\right)=\mu \\
H\left(x, u, \lambda, n_{0}\right) & =n_{0}-v(t)|\lambda(t)| \equiv 0
\end{aligned}
$$

where $v(t)=\sqrt{2 g x_{2}(t)+v_{0}^{2}}$ and $g, v_{0}, x_{B}$ and $y_{B}$ are positive constants, and the notation agree with the notation in the text book.
Show that the optimization problem is normal.
2 p.
7. Determine the control law $u=L(x)$ that minimizes the cost function

$$
V=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u(t)^{2}+x^{4}(t)\right) d t
$$

for the system $\dot{x}(t)=-x(t)+u(t)$.

4 p.
8. Design an MRAS based on stability theory for the process

$$
G(p)=\frac{1}{(p+a)^{2}}
$$

and the reference model

$$
G_{m}(p)=\frac{1}{1+2 p+p^{2}}
$$

4 p.

1a) System

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{1}=x_{2} \\
& \dot{x}_{2}=-x_{1}+\frac{x_{1}^{3}}{3}-x_{2}=x_{1}\left(x_{1}^{2} / 3-1\right)+x_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equitibnum poonts $\left(x_{1}=0, x_{2}=0\right)$

$$
\Rightarrow x_{2}=0 \text { and } x_{1}=0 \text { or } x_{1}= \pm \sqrt{3}
$$

cineanzaNum

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 x^{2} & -1
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad \tan x=(0,0) \\
& \operatorname{det}(\lambda \lambda-1)=\lambda(\lambda+1)+1=0 \\
& \lambda^{2}+\lambda=-1 \\
& (x+0.5)^{2}=-0.75 \\
& \lambda=0.5 \pm 1 \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2} \quad \text { stabletacus }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& q=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
2 & -1
\end{array}\right] \quad \operatorname{fr} x=(0, \sqrt{3}) \text { and }(0, \sqrt{3}) \\
& \operatorname{det}(\lambda-A)=\lambda(\lambda+1)-2=0 \\
& (2+0.5)^{2}=2.25 \\
& \lambda=-0.5 \pm 1.5 \quad \text { sadde }
\end{aligned}
$$

System 2
Qnly one equelibrum popht: $x=(0,0)$

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \Rightarrow 2(1-2)+1=0
$$

- Star node or tangent node

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A v=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
-1 & 2
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{l}
v_{1} \\
v_{2}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \Rightarrow-v_{1}+2 v_{2}=\mid v_{2} \Rightarrow v_{1}=v_{2} \\
& \text { Only one eigen recm }[1] \Rightarrow \text { Unstable }
\end{aligned}
$$

16) $f_{1}=x_{2}$ for both makes it easy to determine directions


Only equilibnum in the origin $\Rightarrow \mathrm{S}_{2}$

2) $20 \%$ accuracy implies the sensor is a sector bounded state nonlinearity

$$
0.8 y \leqslant f(y) \leqslant 1.2 y
$$

Circle enterion $\Rightarrow$ stable if $F G$ to the night of the disc $\left(-\frac{5}{4},-\frac{5}{6}\right)$
From diagram we have that $F G$ is to the right of $-0.75=-\frac{3}{4}$
since $-\frac{3}{4}>-\frac{5}{6}$ the system is stable
3) From plot we note ampuhcle $a \approx 1$ and period $T_{p} \approx 6.3 \mathrm{~s}$, ice.

$$
\omega_{c}=\frac{2 \pi}{T_{\mu}}=1 \mathrm{rad} / \mathrm{s}
$$

From the article by nistrom and Hagglund $(\alpha=4)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
T_{R} & =\frac{\tan \varphi_{m}+\sqrt{1+\tan ^{2} \varphi_{m}}}{2 \omega_{k}}=\frac{1+\sqrt{2}}{2} \\
\Rightarrow T_{i} & =2(1+\sqrt{2})
\end{aligned}
$$

Deser.ten $N(a)=\frac{4}{\pi a} \Rightarrow\left|G\left(w_{c}\right)\right|=\frac{\pi}{4}$

$$
K=\frac{\cos \psi m}{\log \left(\omega_{c}\right) \mid}=\frac{\sqrt{2} / 2}{\pi / 4}=\frac{2 \sqrt{2}}{\pi}
$$

4) 

$$
\begin{align*}
& \dot{x}_{1}=5 x_{1} x_{2}  \tag{1}\\
& \dot{x}_{2}=2 x_{1}^{5}+3 u \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

K-candidate

$$
\begin{aligned}
v & =\frac{1}{2}\left(x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}\right) \\
\dot{v} & =x_{1} x_{1}+x_{2} \dot{x}_{2} \\
& =x_{2}\left(5 x_{1}^{2}+2 x_{1}^{5}+3 u\right) \\
u & =\frac{1}{3}\left(-5 x_{1}^{2}-2 x_{1}^{5}-x_{2}\right) \Rightarrow v=-x_{2}^{2} \leqslant 0 \\
\dot{v} & =0 \Rightarrow x_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Lnserting $u$ in (2):

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{2}=2 x_{1}^{5}-5 x_{1}^{2}-2 x_{1}^{5}-x_{2}=-5 x_{1}^{2}-x_{2} \\
& x_{2} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow x_{2}=0 \Rightarrow-5 x_{1}=0 \Rightarrow x_{1}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\quad V<0 \quad \forall x \neq 0$
and $\quad V \geqslant 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V \rightarrow \infty,|x| \rightarrow \infty \\
& v(0)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

La sulle $\Rightarrow x=0$ globally as. stable
5)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\dot{x}_{1}=g\left(x_{2}\right) \\
\dot{x}_{2}=f\left(x_{3}\right) \\
\dot{x}_{3}=u
\end{array}\right. \\
& y_{j}=x_{1}=g\left(x_{2}\right) \\
& \ddot{y}=g^{\prime}\left(\dot{x}_{2}\right) \dot{x}_{2}=q\left(x_{2}\right) f\left(x_{3}\right) \\
& \ddot{y}=g^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right) \dot{x}_{2} A\left(x_{3}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) x_{3}^{\prime} \\
& =g^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{2}\right) f^{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+g^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) u
\end{aligned}
$$

Asume $g^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right) \neq 0$

$$
u=\frac{1}{q^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) f^{\prime}\left(x_{3}\right)}\left(-q^{\prime}\left(x_{2}\right) f^{2}\left(x_{3}\right)+r\right)
$$

grues

$$
\frac{d}{d r}\left[\begin{array}{l}
y \\
y \\
y
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
y \\
y \\
y
\end{array}\right]+\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
1
\end{array}\right]
$$

b)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}=0 ; \lambda_{1}\left(t_{f}\right)=\mu \Rightarrow \lambda_{1}=\mu_{1} \\
& t=0: n_{0}=v(0) \sqrt{\lambda_{0}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}}=v_{0} \sqrt{\mu_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}} \\
& t=t: n_{0}=\sqrt{2 g x_{2}+v_{0}^{2}} \sqrt{\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}} \\
& n_{0}=0 \text { (abnormal } \Rightarrow \mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

$\Rightarrow n_{0} \neq 0 \Rightarrow$ rormal (can alwags be cceled ho $n_{0}=1$ )
7) $V=\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(u^{2}+x^{4}\right) d f ; \dot{x}=-x+k$

Stationary solution since $t, \rightarrow \Rightarrow V_{e}=0$
Mamileon-Jacobi-Bellman

$$
\begin{aligned}
0= & \min \left(v_{x} x+u^{2}+x^{4}\right) \\
= & \min \left(v_{x}(-x+u)+u^{2}+x^{4}\right) \\
& q(u) \\
\frac{d g}{d u}= & v_{x}+2 u=0 \rightarrow u^{*}=-\frac{1}{2} v_{x}
\end{aligned}
$$

In HJB:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& V_{x}\left(-x-\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{x}\right)+\frac{1}{4} V_{x}^{2}+x^{4}=0 \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \nabla_{x}^{2}-V_{x} x=-x^{4} \\
& \left(V_{x}+2 x\right)^{2}=4 x^{4}+4 x^{2}=4 x^{2}\left(1+x^{2}\right) \\
& V_{k}=-2 x\left(1 \pm \sqrt{1+x^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We have $V_{x}(0)=0 \Rightarrow u=0$ for $x=0$

$$
\Rightarrow V(0)=0
$$

Since $V>0 \quad \forall x \neq 0 \quad V_{x}(\varepsilon)>0$ for $\varepsilon>0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Rightarrow \quad v_{x}=-2 x\left(1-\sqrt{1+x^{2}}\right) \\
& \Rightarrow u^{x}(x)=x\left(1-\sqrt{1+x^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

8) 

$$
G(p)=\frac{1}{(p+a)^{2}} \Rightarrow n=2, m=0
$$

Rel. deg $>1 \Rightarrow$ Augmented error

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{deg}(R)=n+1 \quad \Rightarrow \quad R=p+r_{1} \\
& \operatorname{deg}(s)=n-1 \Rightarrow s=s_{0} p+s_{1} \\
& \operatorname{deg}\left(n_{0}\right)=n-m^{2}-1 \Rightarrow \quad n_{0}=p+k \\
& Q=Q, Q_{2}=(p+q)(p+q) \\
& \bar{T}=A_{0} B_{m}=(p+a) \cdot 1
\end{aligned}
$$

1. Determine $e(1)=y(r)-4 m(1)$
where

$$
4 m(1)=\frac{1}{1+2 p+p^{2}} p(\alpha)
$$

and form the augmented error

$$
\varepsilon(t)=e(t)-G(p)\left(\frac{1}{1+g} u(t)+\hat{\theta}(t) \varphi(t)\right)
$$

where

$$
G(p)=\frac{Q}{A_{m} \theta_{0}}=\frac{(p+q)^{2}}{\left(p^{2}+2 p+1\right)(p+\alpha)}
$$

has to be $\operatorname{spR}$. For example if $9=1$ we: get

$$
G(p)=\frac{1}{p+\alpha}
$$

Here $\hat{\theta}(t)=\left(r,-g s_{t} s_{1} \beta\right]$

$$
\varphi^{T}(t)=\left[\frac{1}{Q} u(t) \frac{p}{Q} y(t) \frac{1}{Q} y(t)-\frac{p+\alpha}{Q} r(t)\right]
$$

see 0.58 in supplement
2. Update $\hat{\theta}$ according to

$$
\hat{\theta}=\gamma \varphi(H) \varepsilon(\rho)
$$

3 Compute the control signal

$$
u(p)=-\hat{\theta}(t)(p+q) p(t)
$$

